



IN THE NORTH GAUTENG HIGH COURT, PRETORIA

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

14/4/14

Case No: 53977/2012

DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE	
(1)	REPORTABLE: YES/NO
(2)	OF INTEREST TO OTHERS
	JUDGES: YES/NO
(3)	REVISED
.....	
DATE	SIGNATURE

In the matter between:

**THE SHERIFF OF THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA,
ALBERTON**

Applicant

and

BHEKISISA NHLAPO

Respondent

In re -

STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA

Plaintiff

and

CARLOS TAULO PHUTHI

Defendant

JUDGMENT

JANSE VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN J

[1] This is an application in terms of the provisions of rule 46(11) of the Uniform rules of court.

[2] In terms of the rule, the sheriff should file a report and an application, which result in the incurring of unnecessary costs, is not necessary. [See: *Sheriff, Hlabisa and Nongoma v Shobeka* 2009 (6) SA 272 (KZN)].

ORDER

In the premises, I make the following order:

1. An order is granted in terms of prayers 1 and 2 of the notice of motion.
2. The applicant is directed to keep on trust the deposit paid by the respondent, pending the finalisation of the application.
3. Prayers 4, 5 and 6 is postponed *sine die*.



JANSE VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN J

JUDGE OF THE GAUTENG HIGH COURT OF SOUTH-AFRICA