## **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**



## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA **GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA**

24/6/16 CASE NO: 4023/2015 40234/2015

**REPORTABLE: NO** OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO **REVISED.** 24-06-2016 Date 725 SIGNATURE

In the matter between:

## **IJ GROBLER**

(1)

(2)(3)

(In the application for leave to appeal)

and

## **C VERMAAK**

(In the application for leave to appeal)

Applicant

Respondent

JUDGMENT

(Application for leave to appeal)

AC BASSON, J

- [1] This is an application for leave to appeal against my judgement and order refusing recission of a judgment granted against the applicant (the defendant in the main application) on 16 August 2012.
- [2] I do not intend repeating the reasons for my judgment as they are fully recorded in the judgment handed down on 18 May 2016. Suffice to point out that this court concluded that the applicant had failed to advance a reasonable explanation for the default and secondly that she did not advance a *bona fide* defence which *prima facie* has prospects of success.
- The court also held that the applicant did not diligently pursue the recission [3] application to finality and that almost three years had lapsed before the recission application finally served before this court. Two recission applications were filed. The first recission application was withdrawn two years after the recission application was filed. After the withdrawal of the first recission application the applicant waited for more than a year to file the second recession application. The applicant simply refuses to accept any responsibility for these delays and continues to place the blame on her attorneys. Although it is accepted that the common law does not prescribe timeframes within which a rescission should be brought, it is accepted that such an application should be brought within a reasonable time after judgement. In the present matter more than three years have lapsed since the judgement was granted before the (second) recission application was finally heard. This time period is marred by unacceptable and largely unexplained delays. The court numerous consequently concluded on the facts that the applicant is the author of her own misfortune.
- [4] I have considered whether an appeal would have reasonable prospects of success. I am not persuaded that there exist reasonable prospects of success on appeal.

2

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs.

ZSS-5

AC BASSON JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

Appearances:

For the applicant	: Adv. J F Winnerts
Instructed by	: Bornman Snyman & Barnard Attorneys
For the Respondent	: Adv. A Greylin
Instructed by	: Spies Bester Potgieter Attorneys