IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA)



Case number: 37510/2012

Date: -26 7/16

DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE
(1) REPORTABLE: YES/NO
(2) OF INTEREST TO OTHERS JUDGES: YES/NO
(3) REVISED
26/7/2016 Retain

In the matter between:

SATHYANDRANATH RAGUNANAN MAHARAJ

FIRST APPLICANT

ZARINA CARRIM MAHARAJ SECOND APPLICANT NATIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS THIRD APPLICANT

And

٣

M AND G CENTRE FOR INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM NPC

FIRST RESPONDENT

M AND G MEDIA LIMITED

SECOND RESPONDENT

STEPHEN PATRICK "SAM" SOLE

THIRD RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT (APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL)

PRETORIUS J.

- (1) I have considered the application for condonation for the late filing of the application for leave to appeal by the National Director of Public Prosecutions. The respondents opposed the application due to the application only being served and filed on 17 June 2016, one day before the leave to appeal was to be heard.
- (2) The second and third respondents, in the main application, the present first and second applicants, served and filed their application for leave to appeal timeously on 17 May 2016.
- (3) I have considered the reasons for requesting leave to appeal by the first and second applicants and have come to the conclusion that leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal should be granted, as there is a reasonable prospect that another court may come to a different conclusion in regards to the respondents being in possession of the documents of the investigation. As a result of deciding to grant leave to appeal to the first and second applicants, I will grant condonation to the third applicant as well as grant leave to appeal.

- (4) In the result the following order is made:
 - 1. Leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal is granted;
 - 2. Cost of the condonation application to be paid by the third applicant, the National Director of Public Prosecutions.
 - 3. Costs to be costs in the appeal.

Judge C Pretorius

•

Case number	: 37510/2012
Matter heard on	: 20 June 2016
For the 1 st and 2 nd Applicants	: Adv MR Hellens SC
Instructed by	: BDK Attorneys
For the 3 rd Applicant	: Adv K Pillay SC, Adv M Lekoane : The State Attorney
Instructed by	. The State Attorney
For the Respondents	: Adv F Ismail, Adv Webber
Instructed by	: Webber Wentzel Attorneys
Date of Judgment	: 26 July 2016