

## IN THE LABOUR COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

## (HELD IN DURBAN)

Case no: D 1782-17

Not Reportable

In the matter between:	
LONDEKA GWALA	Applicant
and	
ССМА	First Respondent
SIZWE M NGUBANE N.O.	Second Respondent
CHICKENLAND (PTY) LTD t/a NANDOS	Third Respondent
Heard: 2 July 2020	
Judgment delivered: 5 August 2020	
JUDGMEN	NT

## WHITCHER J

- [1] The application to review and set aside a condonation ruling by the second respondent ("the commissioner") is dismissed for the following reasons.
- [2] The review application does not pass the stringent test for a review and the very circumscribed basis upon which a court is entitled to interfere with a discretionary ruling.

- [3] It is evident from the ruling that the commissioner appreciated the factors that needed to be considered in a condonation application and applied his mind thereto.
- [4] It cannot be said that no reasonable commissioner could have found that the applicant failed to provide a reasonable explanation for the delay and failed to demonstrate good prospects of success in the dismissal dispute.
- [5] It is common cause that the applicant was warned in his dismissal letter that if he chooses to refer his matter to the CCMA, it must be done within 30 days.
- [6] The applicant did not require the third respondent's disciplinary code to refer his matter to the CCMA. In any event, one does not need a disciplinary code to confirm that the allegations proffered against the applicant constituted a dismissible offence.
- [7] The minutes of the disciplinary proceedings and the outcome report indicate that the applicant has very poor prospects of success on the main charge.
- [8] In the premises, the review application is dismissed.

## **Benita Whitcher**

Judge of the Labour Court of South Africa

APPEARANCES:	
APPLICANT:	Xaba Attorneys
	dumixaba@xabainc.com
THIRD RESPONDENT:	Guardian Employers Organisation
	geoffc@geo.org.za