SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

MEDIA STATEMENT – JUDGMENT DELIVERED IN SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL

From: The Registrar, Supreme Court of Appeal

Date: 28 November 2007

Status: Immediate

THE NATIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS v W J VERMAAK

Please note that the media summary is intended for the benefit of the media and does not form

part of the judgment of the Supreme Court of Appeal.

\* \* \*

The Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) today (28 November 2007) dismissed an appeal by

the National Director of Public Prosecutions (NDPP) against an order of the High Court

refusing to order the forfeiture of a motor vehicle that had been driven under the influence

of intoxicating liquor. (National Director of Public Prosecutions v Vermaak)

The NDPP had sought an order from the High Court that the vehicle be forfeited under

the provisions of chapter 6 of the Prevention of Organised Crime Act 121 of 1998.

The SCA affirmed its earlier decision that it is competent in law to make such an order.

But it pointed out that in its earlier decision to that effect it also said that the Act may not

be used merely as a substitute for conventional remedies. It held that it would require

very special circumstances for an order of forfeiture to be made in relation to the offence

of driving under the influence of intoxicating liquor.

It held those circumstance did not exist in the case before it and the High Court correctly

refused to make such an order.