JUDGMENT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(CAPE OF GOOD HOPE PROVINCIAL DIVISION)

DATE: CASE NO: 6 JUNE 2008 A500/2006

5 In the matter between:

BURTON FORTUIN Applicant

AND

HE STATE Respondent

(Application for Leave to Appeal)

10

JUDGMENT

MOOSA, J

IJ This against the conviction of applicant in the Regional Court. Appeal S. an application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of against മ decision of this Court to dismiss the appeal

20 The denied opposed by the respondent namely, the State applicant was convicted in the Regional Court on a charge of and any wrong doing; the sentenced to nine years application for leave imprisonment. The to appeal is applicant

leave to appeal dated 21 May 2007 The grounds of appeal are set out in the notice of application for

Ś

0 that ģ professed application finding concentrating and noted by Trope some of the injuries the complainant testified about were according applicant; of application for leave Although there Appeal the the that the applicant, informed Honourable and the fifth ground, namely, that the Honourable Court to Dr Trope 6 erred bУ have on two are five the learned Ξ. sustained applicant's not Court of Appeal grounds; they are some to appeal, Advocate grounds of appeal, as sufficiently magistrate erred of the injuries that the the could attorney Court that have taking misdirected the first ground namely, fοr been Marais, who appeared set out in the 3 into he the faked, dismissing S recall itself account complainant essentially and not seen ş 약 that not the the

prospects appeal Zo¥ and Others it is hе SA settled law for the of success 1948 must 765(A) ð satisfy 767 185 on appeal. g-h. at 186/7 and this applicant to succeed with his leave This Court that In this consideration S v Ackermann regard he see has applies 刀 en 'n reasonable ਰ both

20

15

the 1949(3) SA 761(A). question of law and fact. 5 this regard see 刀 v Koswayo

which application both applicant's ţ This respect of those applicant is concentrating. particularly, repeat the rationale of this Court have has with the grounds as contained in 1 and been raised in the grounds. and respondent's counsel in connection with this dealt fully, We have also heard the submissions by There is, therefore, no reason for me in its Court in coming to its grounds judgment, with of appeal and Çī all the conclusion in on which the also more issues

Ų١

10

Court After premises аге DISMISSED, reasonable prospects careful consideration, I have not been persuaded that there 약 Appeal, I am of the view that the O.T. and it is so ordered HE either on the SUPREME of success COURT question APPLICATION on appeal 유 of law APPEAL ō or fact. FOR LEAVE the SHOULD Supreme in the BE

15

20

Ç

MOOSA, J

l agree,

10

MLONZI, AJ