

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(WESTERN CAPE HIGH COURT, CAPE TOWN)**

**NAME OF VESSEL: MFV "JULIETTE"**

In the matters between:

**AC 70/2010**

**MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER CAMERON-DOW**

Applicant

and

**THE MFV "JULIETTE"  
C-CRAFT CC  
RAYMOND COOPER  
PIERRE JAN LAUBSCHER  
BASIC BLUE TRADING 232 CC**

First Respondent  
Second Respondent  
Third Respondent  
Fourth Respondent  
Fifth Respondent

---

**AC 85/2010**

**PIERRE JAN LAUBSCHER**  
In his capacity as sole proprietor of  
**WICKED LADY FISHING**  
**BASIC BLUE TRADING 232 CC**

First Applicant

v

**THE MFV "JULIETTE"  
MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER CAMERON DOW  
C-CRAFT CC  
RAYMOND COOPER**

Second Applicant

First Respondent  
Second Respondent  
Third Respondent  
Fourth Respondent

---

**JUDGMENT HANDED DOWN THIS MONDAY, 29 NOVEMBER 2010**

---

**CLEAVER J**

My attention has been drawn to the fact that the order issued in terms of the judgment handed down on 18 November 2010 incorporated a rule nisi. This was a patent error as I had intended a final order to be granted. I accordingly direct that the order marked "X" annexed hereto is to replace the order marked "X" which was annexed to the judgment of 18 November 2010. Annexures "A" and "B" to the previous order continue to form part of the order.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**R B CLEAVER**