

AS ALLOCATED

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG
MONDAY 21ST NOVEMBER 2022**

**EVEN THOUGH COURTROOMS ARE ALLOCATED TO JUDGES, JUDGES MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY
LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE
IN THE EVENT OF VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS**

OPPOSED MOTION

9A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MALINDI J

9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KEMACK AJ

9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FLATELA AJ

9D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE REDMAN AJ

6F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FRIEDMAN AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MEYER J

GD BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J

GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SWANEPOEL AJ

URGENT APPLICATIONS

9E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ADAMS J

9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE YACOOB J

SPECIAL MOTION

11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MIA J

*2020/7982 CARNIVAL JUNCTION PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT (PTY) LTD AND OTHERS
v GOLDEN FALLS TRADING 125 (PTY) LTD*

11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DLAMINI J

*2022-003417 SWISSPORT SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LTD v AIRPORTS COMPANY OF
SOUTH AFRICA SOC LTD AND OTHERS*

TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAKUME J

FAMILY COURT

11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MUDAU J

11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WILSON AJ

SPECIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

GA BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FRANCIS J

GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE TWALA J

SETTLEMENT COURT

1A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VALLY J

CASE MANAGEMENT COURT

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OPPERMAN J

FULL COURT APPEALS – CRIMINAL

4B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE STRYDOM J
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE C MOOSA J
A177/2019 A48/2022

FULL COURT APPEAL – CRIMINAL

4F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ISMAIL J
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAKHOBHA J
AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE JOHNSON AJ
A51/2022 A46/2022

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OPPERMAN J

COMMERCIAL COURT

Offsite facility BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MANOIM J
*RAM TRANSPORT (SOUTH AFRICA) (PTY) LTD t/a RAM HAND-TO-HAND
COURIERS v DHL SUPPLY CHAIN (SOUTH AFRICA) (PTY) LTD (CASE NO:
2020/20232)*

TRIALS OF LONG DURATION

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DIPPENAAR J

6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOKOENA AJ
SIFISO DUNCAN SHEZI v MINISTER OF POLICE (CASE NO: 2018/33095)

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE TSHOMBE AJ

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

6A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAHALELO J

6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SENYATSI J

6C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAIER-FRAWLEY J

8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MSIBI AJ

8C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OLIVIER AJ

8B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE T MOOSA AJ

THE CIVIL TRIAL ROLL BEFORE SUTHERLAND DJP. IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 37 OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S CONSOLIDATED DIRECTIVE OF 11 JUNE 2021. THERE SHALL BE NO PHYSICAL TRIAL ROLL CALL. PARTIES MUST COMPLY STRICTLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 37 TO 40 OF THE DIRECTIVE. PARTIES SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ALLOCATIONS BY EMAIL.

WHENEVER JUDGES ARE ALLOCATED MORE THAN ONE CASE THE JUDGE SHALL DIRECT IN WHICH ORDER THE MATTERS ARE TO BE HEARD.

IN A MATTER WHERE A SETTLEMENT IS IMMINENT IT MUST BE REMOVED FROM THIS ROLL AND ENROLLED ON THE SETTLEMENTS COURT ROLL. THERE SHOULD BE NO EXPECTATION THAT THE MATTER WILL BE ENTERTAINED ON THIS ROLL OR THAT TRIAL COSTS SHALL BE AWARDED FOR AN APPEARANCE.

LITIGANTS ARE REMINDED OF THE PRACTICE DIRECTIVE 02 OF 2022 WHICH SETS OUT THE ARRANGEMENTS REGARDING THE MODE OF HEARING. PLEASE LIAISE WITH THE JUDGE'S SECRETARY TO WHOM THE MATTER IS ALLOCATED

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF CIVIL TRIALS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

*CLOSING DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES:
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2022*

NUMBER ON ROLL	CASE NO	PARTIES	ALLOCATION/ORDER GRANTED
'Y' MATTERS: CLAIMS AGAINST THE RAF, PRASA OR MEC HEALTH, GAUTENG			
PART A: CIVIL TRIALS			
PART B: TRIAL BY DEFAULT JUDGMENT			

<p align="center">ALL MATTERS CLASSIFIED “D” “C” “P” OR “F” NOT REQUIRING A JUDICIAL CERTIFICATE OF READINESS</p>			
1	2019/14685	<p>RIYADH DOOLA VS HBZ BANK LIMITED Plaintiff: Adv G Nel SC Defendant: Adv K Iles Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days Pre-trial conference: 10 March 2021; 10 February 2022; 10 June 2022 The action relates to an oral agreement concluded between the parties during September 2017 related to the cancellation of a mortgage bond the defendant held over the immovable property owned by the plaintiff. Issues in dispute: The terms of the oral agreement concluded between the plaintiff and defendant during September 2017; Whether the excess amount was to be paid to the plaintiff after the cancellation of the mortgage bond; Whether the amount could be retained by the defendant, in order to reduce the debt that Northend Showroom CC allegedly owed to the defendant Open court hearing (preference) PRACTICE NOTE WAS UPLOADED ON 15 JULY 2022; NO UPDATED PRACTICE NOTE WAS UPLOADED</p>	<p>Coram Sutherland DJP: Court order dated 21 November 2022 is made an order of court</p> <p>The matter is by agreement postponed sine die. Costs are reserved.</p>
2	2021/16373	<p>NEWTON MOTOR DEALERSHIP VS STEPHEN NALE</p>	<p>No practice note was uploaded to the electronic file – Matter is removed from the trial roll. A note on the electronic file indicate that the trial date is 21 November 2023</p>

3	2018/8239	<p>CORE LOGISTIX (PTY) LIMITED VS FRANCES ROOKS</p> <p>Plaintiff: Adv J Kaplan Estimated duration: 4 – 5 days Issues in dispute: That the defendant was summarily dismissed as an employee of the plaintiff on 28 July 2017; Plaintiff's contention that defendant's employment contract falls to be rectified in the respects set out in the Particulars of Claim; Whether the defendant breached her duty of care and her fiduciary duties to plaintiff in the respects set out in the POC Whether defendant stole movable assets belonging to plaintiff as a result whereof plaintiff suffered damages Whether the defendant fraudulently authorised payment of travel expenses by plaintiff as a result whereof plaintiff suffered damages Whether the defendant fraudulently claimed payment from plaintiff in respect of accommodation for herself while staying at her holiday residence and whether plaintiff made payment to defendant in respect of such accommodation as a result whereof plaintiff suffered damages; Whether defendant fraudulently procured that plaintiff effect payments to an individual purportedly in respect of commissions earned, that the commissions were not due to that individual and that plaintiff suffered damages in the said sum; Whether defendant fraudulently instructed a broker to initiate a commission statement to plaintiff and for the broker to pay the said sum into a bank account operated by defendant's mother; Whether defendant fraudulently procured that plaintiff effect payment to MR Maintenance in respect of renovations to plaintiff's premises in circumstances where no such renovations had been carried out as a</p>	<p>OLIVIER AJ Secretary: Mr P Nkhumishe E-mail: PNkhumishe@judiciary.org.za</p>
---	-----------	---	---

		<p>result whereof plaintiff suffered damages; Whether defendant fraudulently procured that plaintiff effect payment of an amount to Infinity Wood in respect of goods supplied and services rendered in circumstances where no such goods were supplied and no such services were rendered as a result whereof plaintiff suffered damages; Whether defendant stole liquor belonging to plaintiff and sold it for the purchase price as a result whereof plaintiff has suffered damages; Whether plaintiff is entitled to an order that defendant be declared a delinquent director in terms of s 69(8) of the Companies Act Whether defendant fraudulent procured that plaintiff issue a credit note to an entity which was to be utilised to pay a debt personally owed by defendant to the entity as a result whereof the plaintiff suffered damages Pre-trial conference: 07 July 2022 Minutes registered: 26 July 2022; 27 July 2022</p>	
4	2018/24994	CHARDEM VNA DER BIJL CC VS JOHN VERHOOG EIENDOMSBELEGGING S	No practice note was uploaded to the electronic file – Matter is struck off from the trial roll.
5	2018/26198	<p>DE VANTIER SUZANNE DANIELLE OBO DE VANTIER MADISON VS THE MEC FOR EDUCATION OF THE GAUTENG, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT Plaintiff: Mr P Uys Defendant: Adv R Peterson Delictual matter Claim for damages suffered because of personal injuries sustained when a wall collapsed on public-school premises Estimated duration: 1 – 2 days</p>	<p>MSIBI AJ Secretary: Mr R Tsatseng E-mail: RTsatseng@judiciary.org.za</p>
6	2019/16846	SABASHNIE PILLAY VS DHANPAL PILLAY	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice.

7	2012/31738	<p>DE JAGER SANDRA LILIAN v HEYMAN ALAN CYRIL</p> <p>Plaintiff: Adv H B Marais SC Defendant: Mr I T Allis (Attorney)</p> <p>Pre-trial conference: 14 May 2020; 20 May 2020; 19 November 2021; 26 August 2022; 11 November 2022</p> <p>The plaintiff (an attorney) has sued the defendant (an attorney) for monies allegedly owing to her by the defendant in terms of a fee sharing agreement in a Road Accident practice. The defendant disputes his liability to pay the plaintiff. The plaintiff alleges liability existed both prior and subsequent to 28 February 2012, when the defendant vacated the shared premises. The defendant alleges that the agreement terminated and on relocating from the shared premises any and all agreements terminated. The defendant in addition to the dispute on the merits has introduced several special pleas for determination. The defendant has disputed the findings of the referee's report and has introduced his own expert</p> <p>Estimated duration: 1 day Plaintiff will make a request that a witness who resides in Cypress testify virtually. This is opposed by defendant</p>	<p>T MOOSA AJ Secretary: Mr S Moloi E-mail: SMoloi@judiciary.org.za</p>
MATTERS REMOVED FROM THE ROLL BY NOTICE			
MATTERS NOT ON THE ROLL, COURT FILES ARE NOT WITH ROLL CALLING JUDGE			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The civil trial roll is submitted to the Office of the Deputy Judge President by the Registrar approximately 9 court days before the trial date and was correct when it reached the Secretary of the Deputy Judge President. 2. The roll received from the registrar's office is then posted on the website of the Johannesburg Society of Advocates, where litigants may inspect the roll. 3. The failure of the registrar to include matters on the roll must be taken up with the Registrar. For that purpose, kindly approach the registrar, Ms T. Khumalo (TKhumalo@judiciary.org.za / 010 494 8397) timeously to enquire about the enrolment. 4. If the registrar approves the enrolment, the matter may be enrolled and dealt with. If the registrar declines the enrolment, the parties may apply for a new trial date in terms of the existing Practice Directives. 5. Last-minute enquiries will not be accommodated. 			

